

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,568	10/06/2000	Yukio Tanaka	SEL 219	2087	
75	90 04/25/2003			-	
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			JORGENSEN, LELAND R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2675	0	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

Office Action Summary Application No. 09/684,568 Examiner	Applicant(s) TANAKA, YUKIO Art Unit				
Office Action Summary	Art Unit				
Office Action Summary Examiner					
Leland R. Jorgensen	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with Period for Reply	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2002 and 16 De	ecember 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 - 42 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1 - 42</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Ap	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has be 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.					
Attachment(s)					
	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/684,568

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 18 November 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. In light of the substitute specification filed 16 December 2002, the objection to the specification is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. In light of the amendment filed 18 November 2002, the prior 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and second paragraph, rejections are withdrawn.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2675

Claims 1, 2, 15, 18, 21, and 36 each teach a video data and another video data. It is unclear whether the phrase "the video data (h, k) is converted into $\{m \ x \ (k-1) + h\}$ -th video data" is referring to a video data or to another video data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 7, 12-23, 36, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al., USPN 6,339,411 B2.

Claims 1, 2, 15, 18, 21, and 36

Miyazaki teaches a display device. Miyazaki, col. 1, lines 4 - 8.

Miyazaki teaches a pixel portion with m x n pixels. Miyazaki, col. 2, line 60 – col. 3, line 14. A gate driver [line scan shift register 22a] feeds n gate signal lines. Miyazaki, col. 8, lines 16 - 30; and figure 7B. Source drivers [dot scan shift registers 22b, 22c, 22d] feed m source signal lines. Miyazaki, col. 8, lines 6 - 15; and figure 7B. Miyazaki, in figures 5A – 5C, shows that m < n.

Miyazaki teaches a video data converter circuit wherein the video data converter circuit converts a video data (h, k) (h 1, 2, 3, m-1, m) and (k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n-1, n) into another video data. Miyazaki, col. 5, lines 21-40; col. 6, line 36-col. 7, line 3; and figure 3. Although Miyazaki does not specifically state that the video data (h, k) is converted into $\{m \times (k-1) + h\}$ -

Art Unit: 2675

th video data, such conversion takes place. Compare the conversion shown in the specification, figures 3, 5, 6, and 7 with the conversion shown in Miyazaki, figure 5C and described in Miyazaki col. 6, line 36 – col. 7, line 3. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to find the equation taught by Miyazaki. Miyazaki invites such by teaching a head-mounted display,

Here, in the head-mounted display described above, considering the need to make the display part positioned in front of the eyes of the user small and light and considering aesthetic design aspects, it is desirable for the head-mounted display to be made small in the front-rear direction.

Miyazaki, col. 2, lines 21 – 25. Miyazaki adds,

Thus because of the difficulty of reducing the size of the liquid crystal panel 80 in the vertical direction there has been the problem that it is not possible to realize size reduction of a head-mounted display in the front-rear direction.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a display device with which it is possible to effectively realize size reduction in the vertical direction.

Miyazaki, col. 2, lines 53 – 59. Miyazaki then adds,

With the first provision of the invention described above, because a data stream obtained by vertical-horizontal converting ordinary image data by means of vertical-horizontal converting means is supplied to the displaying means and the display operation is executed by vertical pixel rows being scanned in the horizontal direction, it becomes natural for the line scan driving circuit to be disposed above or below the display region in the displaying means. In other words, it becomes unnecessary for a pixel driving circuit requiring a large area for circuit provision to be disposed above or below the display region, and as a result there is the effect that it becomes possible to effectively reduce the size of the displaying means in the vertical direction. And when the display device is to be mounted in a head-mounted display, this makes it possible to reduce the size of the head-mounted display in the front-rear direction.

Miyazaki, col. 3, lines 49 – 64. Miyazaki concludes,

When according to the other provision of the invention mentioned above two units of the displaying means are disposed left-right symmetrically and the

Art Unit: 2675

display controlling means supplies image data and scanning signals to these two displaying means so that they display the same image while mutually inverted in a top-bottom direction and in a left-right direction, there is the effect that it is possible to raise the freedom of configuration design of for example a headmounted display or the like.

Miyazaki, col. 4, lines 28 - 36.

Claims 15 and 36 each add that the video data converter circuit has a video formatter, a memory and an address generator. Miyazaki teaches video formatter [memory controller 9], a memory 3b, and an address generator [driving circuit part 10]. Miyazaki, col. 7, lines 31 – 35; and figure 3.

Claim 18 adds that the gate driver is formed at a lateral side of the pixel portion, and the source driver is formed at a longitudinal side of the pixel portion. Miyazaki teaches such.

Miyazaki, col. 7, lines 44 – col. 8, line 5; and figure 7B.

Claim 21 adds that the plurality of gate signal lines are vertical and the plurality of source signal lines are horizontal. Miyazaki, col. 8, lines 6 - 63; and figure 7B.

Claims 7, 12, 16, 19, 22, and 41

Miyazaki teaches that the display device is a head mount display 30. Miyazaki, col. 1, lines 4 - 10; col. 5, lines 9 - 16; and figures 8A - 8C.

Claim 13, 14, 17, 20, 23, and 42

Miyazaki teaches that the display device is a liquid crystal display device. Miyazaki, col. 1, lines 5-8. Miyazaki, col. 1, lines 3-9.

8. Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 24, 25, 28, 29, 32, 33, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al. in view of Yamazaki et al., USPN 6,292,183 B1.

Art Unit: 2675

Claims 3, 8, 24, 28, 32, and 37

Claims 3, 8, 24, 28, 32, and 37 describe a rear projector with three display devices.

Neither Miyazaki nor Miyazaki teach a rear projector with three display devices.

Yamazaki teaches a rear projector with three liquid crystal display devices. Yamazaki, col. 7, lines 22 – 24; col 17, line 60 – col. 18, line 3; col. 18, line 61 – col. 19, line 13; and figures 18, 20A and 20B.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the rear projector with three display devices as taught by Yamazaki with the display device of Miyazaki and Miyazaki. Yamazaki invites such combination by teaching,

The present invention has been made to solve the above problem, and therefore an object of the present invention is to provide a drive circuit that prevents the deterioration of a TFT in a CMOS circuit on a high-voltage side, makes a withstand voltage high, and increases a drive margin in the case where two voltages of a high voltage and a low voltage are provided for a supply voltage to a peripheral drive circuit and a high voltage is needed to be applied to a gate signal line.

Another object of the present invention is to provide a liquid crystal display device using the above drive circuit.

Yamazaki, col. 4, lines 22 - 32. Yamazaki specifically invites the use of a projector with the three display devices by teaching,

The three-plate type liquid crystal projector according to this embodiment displays images corresponding to three primary colors consisting of red, blue and green on three monochrome-display liquid crystal panels 1807, 1808 and 1809, respectively, and lightens the above liquid crystal panels by lights of the corresponding three primary colors. Then, the obtained images of the respective primary-color components are composed by dichroic prism 1810 and then projected onto the screen 1812. Therefore, the three-plate type liquid crystal projector is excellent in display performance (resolution, screen illuminance, color purity).

Yamazaki, col. 18, lines 4 - 14.

Art Unit: 2675

Claims 4, 9, 25, 29, 33, and 38

Yamazaki teaches a front projector with three liquid crystal display devices. Yamazaki, col. 7, lines 25 – 28; col 17, line 60 – col. 18, line 3; col. 19, lines 28 – 46; and figures 18, and 21.

9. Claims 5, 10, 26, 30, 34, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al. in view of Negishi et al., USPN 5,860,720.

Claims 5, 10, 26, 30, 34, and 39

Claims 5, 10, 26, 30, 34, and 39 describe a rear projector with one display device.

Neither Miyazaki nor Miyazaki teach a rear projector with one display device.

Negishi teaches a rear projector with liquid crystal display device. Negishi, col. 1, lines 4 – 17.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the rear projector with one display device as taught by Negishi with the display device of Miyazaki and Miyazaki. Negishi invites such combination by teaching,

A liquid-crystal rear projector is able to reduce its depth and to widen its screen, and such a liquid-crystal rear projector can be easily enlarged in size compared with a television receiver using a cathode-ray tube (CRT).

Negishi, col. 1, lines 18 - 21.

10. Claims 6, 11, 27, 31, 35, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al. in view of Braun et al., USPN 5,335.022.

Art Unit: 2675

11.

Claims 6, 11, 27, 31, 35, and 40

Claims 6, 11, 27, 31, 35, and 40 describe a front projector with one display device.

Neither Miyazaki nor Miyazaki teach a front projector with one display device.

Braun teaches a front projector with liquid crystal display device. Braun, col. 1, lines 9 –

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the front projector with one display device as taught by Braun with the display device of Miyazaki and Miyazaki. Braun invites such combination by teaching,

Many computer image, entertainment video, and video teleconferencing services require large-screen displays so that users can realize the maximal benefit and effectiveness of these services. A particular concern with large display screens, especially in residential environments, is the volume of living space encroached upon by the video display screen. A solution to this problem would be a true large-screen flat display having negligible depth that can be hung inconspicuously on the wall. This solution, although under research for many years, remains decidedly elusive.

Braun, col. 1, lines 14 - 24. Braun adds,

Another approach to large screen video displays, which minimizes the apparent loss of living space, is front projection systems. A front projection system displays an image by directing the projected light from the projector onto a projection screen which diffusely reflects the light back into the viewing area.

Braun, col. 1, lines 33 - 38. Braun then teaches an object of invention.

An object of our invention is to provide a front projection video display system, which yields high contrast without requiring darkened ambient conditions and minimizes the encroachment on the volume of living space unlike conventional rear projection visual display systems.

Braun, col. 2, lines 14 - 19.

Art Unit: 2675

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leland Jorgensen whose telephone number is 703-305-2650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 a.m. through 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720.

Art Unit: 2675

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, telephone number (703) 306-0377.

lrj

STEVEN SARAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600